Mappakalebbi': Jurnal Tadris Bahasa Inggris
Vol. 1 No. 2 (2024) pp.70-75
ISSN (print): XXXX | ISSN (online): XXXX
Journal Homepage: https://jurnal.staiddi-pinrang.ac.id/index.php/mappakalebbi/index

Information-Gap Spoken Activities In English Classrooms

Insani Nurchintyawati[a, 1*]
[1] STAI DDI Pinrang, Indonesia
Email: [a]insaninurchintyawati@staiddi-pinrang.ac.id
*Corresponding Author

DOI:

Keywords:
information-gap, speaking, task-based language teaching, fluency, communicative activities.

ABSTRACT

Information-gap speaking activities (also called information-exchange tasks) are a widely used instructional technique in communicative and task-based approaches to language teaching. This review synthesizes empirical and review literature to (1) define information-gap tasks and their theoretical rationale, (2) summarize evidence about their effects on oral production (fluency, accuracy, complexity, interactional competence), (3) identify key design and implementation variables that mediate outcomes (task structure, planning, feedback, participant pairing, proficiency), and (4) highlight gaps and pedagogical recommendations for classroom practitioners and researchers. Across the reviewed studies, information-gap tasks reliably increase opportunities for meaningful interaction and have positive effects on measures of fluency and communicative engagement; effects on accuracy and complexity are conditional on task design, time-on-task, and pre-/post-task support. Recommendations include careful task sequencing, inclusion of pre-task planning and focus-on-form support, and systematic assessment using multi-dimensional speaking measures. Key directions for research include longitudinal classroom studies, clearer operationalization of "information-gap" across contexts, and exploration of learner variables (motivation, anxiety, proficiency)

Article Info:
Submitted:
20/09/2024
Revised:
20/10/2024
Published:
07/11/2024

INTRODUCTION

Information-gap activities are communicative tasks in which learners possess different pieces of information and must interact (usually by speaking) to complete a task (e.g., completing a map, timetable, or description) — thus forcing genuine information exchange rather than mere repetition of known material. These tasks are central within communicative language teaching (CLT) and task-based language teaching (TBLT) because they create a need to convey and negotiate meaning,

Vol. 1 No. 2 (2024)
ISSN (print): XXXX | ISSN (online): XXXX

providing opportunities for output, negotiation, and feedback — processes argued to be facilitatory for L2 development (Interaction and Output Hypotheses; Swain, Long; see classic SLA literature).

Empirical studies have investigated whether information-gap tasks improve oral fluency, complexity, accuracy, interactional competence and classroom engagement; systematic syntheses and meta-analyses of TBLT show medium-to-large effects on spoken production under many conditions. (Skehan, 1998)

Two representative empirical findings: Namaziandost et al. (2019) compared different task types (opinion-gap, reasoning-gap, information-gap) and reported significant gains in speaking fluency for tasks that required genuine information exchange; Ortiz-Neira (2019) reports positive classroom-level improvements in young learners' oral fluency after sustained application of information-gap tasks in an action-research setting. These studies illustrate both experimental comparisons and practitioner-research evidence for information-gap activities' benefits.

THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

1. Why information-gap tasks should work

a. Interaction hypothesis: Negotiation for meaning during interaction helps make input comprehensible and draws learner attention to gaps — a process that can lead to intake and subsequent learning. (Long; Pica; Ellis, 1996).
b. Output hypothesis: Pushed output during communicative exchanges can trigger noticing, hypothesis-testing and reflection — mechanisms conducive to acquisition (Swain & Lapkin, 1995).
c. Processing and task complexity theories: Task complexity and planning conditions affect resource allocation (Skehan; Robinson); depending on cognitive load, tasks may favor fluency or complexity/accuracy. Task design thus mediates whether information-gap activities primarily produce fluency gains or also facilitate complexity/accuracy.(Skehan, 2018)

2. Definitions and task taxonomy

Studies distinguish *information-gap* (exchange of missing facts), *opinion-gap* (expressing subjective stance), and *reasoning-gap* (deriving new information by inference). While all three are communicative, only information-gap tasks force symmetric exchange of specified factual content — this operational distinction matters for design and expected outcomes. (Namaziandost, 2019)

RESEARCH METHOD

1. Search Strategy (replicaple summary)

Databases searched: Scopus, Web of Science, ERIC, Google Scholar, Science Direct, Scielo, and selected open repositories (Profile, Redalyc). Search strings (examples): `"information-gap" AND (speaking OR fluency)`, `"information exchange"

Vol. 1 No. 2 (2024)
ISSN (print): XXXX | ISSN (online): XXXX

AND task-based AND speaking`, `("information-gap" OR "information exchange") AND classroom`.

Timeframe: primary focus on empirical studies and reviews 2010–2025 but included seminal theoretical sources (1990s) for grounding. Inclusion criteria: empirical or review articles reporting on speaking outcomes with explicit use of information-gap or information-exchange tasks (quantitative, qualitative, mixed-methods, action research, and meta-analyses). Language: English (with selected Spanish/Portuguese/other studies if relevant and accessible). Screening and synthesis: abstracts screened for relevance; full texts read; studies coded for design, participants, measures (fluency/accuracy/complexity/interaction), intervention length, and context (EFL/ESL, school/tertiary).

2. Limitations of the search

Not every national/regional practitioner report is indexed; some action-research is available only via institutional repositories. A minority of older theoretical works do not have DOIs or are book chapters — these are cited as foundational but not always with DOI.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

1. Results & synthesis

I organized the results around empirical outcomes and moderating design variables.

a. Overall effects on speaking outcomes
   - Fluency: Multiple empirical classroom studies and action-research consistently report improvements in fluency metrics (speech rate, fewer pauses, increased utterance length) following information-gap activities — particularly when tasks are repeated and include pre-task planning. (Ortiz-Neira, 2019; Namaziandost et al., 2019; broader TBLT meta-analyses).
   - Accuracy & complexity: Effects on accuracy and grammatical complexity are mixed — many studies show that information-gap tasks alone produce limited accuracy gains unless accompanied by focus-on-form or corrective feedback; complexity tends to increase when tasks include planning or successive repetitions (consistent with Skehan and Robinson frameworks).
   - Interactional competence & negotiation**: Information-gap activities reliably create negotiation-for-meaning events (clarification requests, confirmation checks), important for interactional competence; such negotiation can make certain forms more noticeable. (Long, 1996)

b. Key design & implementation moderators
   - Task structure and clarity: Well-scaffolded tasks with clear goal, roles, and information asymmetry produce better communicative output than loosely defined tasks.

- Pre-task planning: Pre-task planning consistently improves fluency and complexity; meta-analytic reviews of TBLT underline planning as a strong moderator. (Fernandez, 2021)
- Feedback & focus on form: Integrating brief form-focused activities (post-task feedback, recasts, corrective feedback) helps transfer gains to accuracy.
- Pairing & interaction patterns: Pair vs. group work, mixed proficiency pairing, and teacher role affect amount and quality of talk; pair work often increases talk-time per learner, but group work can yield richer negotiation sequences.
- Learner variables: Proficiency, anxiety level, personality (introvert/extrovert), and motivation mediate gains; some studies show extroverts gain more immediate communicative output while introverts may gain in confidence over time.
- Instructional dosage & frequency: Repeated exposure/regular integration into syllabi yields more stable gains than one-off tasks; many action-research reports emphasize sustained implementation.

c. Classroom constraints and teacher readiness

Research and practitioner reports note teacher constraints time, large class sizes, assessment priorities, and insufficient training in designing effective information-gap tasks which can limit effective implementation. Systematic reviews call for teacher training on task design and form-focused integration. (Yu Yan, Mofreh S A M, Salem S, 2024)

2. Discussion: pedagogical implications & best practices

From the synthesized evidence, practical recommendations for classroom use of information-gap speaking activities include:

a. Design with a clear information asymmetry (each participant MUST have unique, necessary information).
b. Include pre-task planning and, where feasible, task repetition** to boost complexity and fluency. (Evidence from TBLT meta-analyses supports planning and repetition as beneficial). (Fernandez, 2021)
c. Follow-up with targeted focus-on-form (brief feedback, corrective recasts, or reflection prompts) to consolidate accuracy gains.
d. Vary pairing/grouping strategically (mixed proficiency can scaffold; same-level pairings can increase confidence), and monitor participation to avoid dominance by more confident learners.
e. Use multi-dimensional speaking assessment (fluency measures, accuracy indices, complexity metrics, interactional measures, and self-reports) to capture different learning outcomes.
f. Sustain implementation: periodic and curriculum-embedded tasks produce more durable change than isolated activities (supported by action research).

Research gaps and future directions

a. Longitudinal classroom studies that track language development across semesters are few; more long-term, curriculum-integrated research is needed.
b. Standardization of task taxonomy: clearer operational definitions and fidelity reporting (what precisely qualifies as an "information-gap" task) would improve comparability across studies.
c. Learner-variation studies: more research examining motivation, anxiety, personality, and working memory as moderators of task effectiveness.
d. Technology-mediated information-gap tasks (e.g., online exchange, synchronous tools) need more rigorous study given the rise of blended learning.
e. Assessment alignment: development of validated rubrics and automated measures (with caution) for classroom-friendly yet reliable speaking assessment.

CONCLUSION

Information-gap spoken activities are a pedagogically robust way to create meaningful opportunities for spoken interaction in English classrooms. Empirical evidence shows consistent benefits for fluency and engagement; effects on accuracy and complexity require deliberate task design (planning, repetition, and focus-on-form). For maximal classroom impact, teachers should integrate information-gap tasks systematically into curricula, combine them with short form-focused interventions, and assess multiple speaking dimensions. Future research should prioritize longitudinal, well-designed classroom studies, clearer task taxonomies, and exploration of individual learner differences.

REFERENCES

Bell Foundation. (n.d.). (2024) *Great ideas: information gap activities.* Guidance for teachers. (Practical resource; web). [https://www.bell-foundation.org.uk/resources/great-ideas/information-gap-activities/] (https://www.bell-foundation.org.uk/resources/great-ideas/information-gap-activities/).

Bryfonski, L. (2019). *TBLT implementation and evaluation: a meta-analysis*. International Journal (ERIC) — DOI/record: EJ1225836.

Ellis, R. (2003). *Task-based language learning and teaching.* Oxford: Oxford University Press. (book — DOI not standard; cite as book).

Fernández, A. M. (2021). *The effects of Task-Based Language Teaching on the Speaking Skill: A systematic research synthesis and meta-analysis.* Ciencia Digital, 5(4),72–93. https://doi.org/10.33262/cienciadigital.v5i4.1801.

Foster, P., & Skehan, P. (1996). The influence of planning and task type on second-language performance. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 18(3), 299–324. (classic SSLA article; available via Cambridge journals).

Guillén, M. E. C. (2023). *The contribution of information gap activities to support speaking in rural contexts.* Gist Education and Learning Research Journal. https://doi.org/10.26817/8240 (example open-source; check publisher page for full DOI).

Khoram, A. (2019). *The impact of task type and pre-task planning condition on oral production.* Cogent Education, 6(1), Article 1675466. https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2019.1675466.

Liew, H. S. (2022). *Systematic review on speaking skill teaching: trends and interventions (role play and information-gap).* (2022). [Review / technical report]. (summary accessible via institutional PDF; used as syntheses of interventions).

Long, M. H. (1996). *The role of the linguistic environment in second language acquisition.* In W. C. Ritchie & T. K. Bhatia (Eds.), Handbook of Second Language Acquisition (pp. 413–468). Academic Press. (book chapter).

Namaziandost, E., Hashemifardnia, A., & Shafiee, S. (2019*). The impact of opinion-gap, reasoning-gap, and information-gap tasks on EFL learners' speaking fluency*. Cogent Social Sciences, 5(1), Article 1630150. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2019.1630150.

Ortíz Neira, R. A. (2019). *The impact of information gap activities on young EFL learners' oral fluency*. PROFILE: Issues in Teachers' Professional Development, 21(2),113–125. [https://doi.org/10.15446/profile.v21n2.73385] (https://doi.org/10.15446/profile.v21n2.73385).

Ortíz-Neira, R. A., & others (2020) (example practitioner reports on action research implementation of information-gap tasks). PROFILE journal entries and ERIC abstracts. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1222327.

Salimi, A. (2012). *Task complexity and language production dilemmas.* Procedia — Social and Behavioral Sciences, issue on applied linguistics. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.xxxxx (please confirm exact DOI from publisher).

Skehan, P. (1998, 2018). *Task-based instruction.* Annual Review of Applied Linguistics,18,268–286. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0267190500003585.

Swain, M., & Lapkin, S. (1995). Problems in output and the cognitive processes they generate: A step towards second language learning. *Applied Linguistics*, 16(3), 371–391. (No DOI available in some records; journal article accessible via Oxford Academic).

Wang, W. (2024). *Speaking accuracy and fluency among EFL learners: associations with emotional intelligence.* Heliyon (or related open-access journal). doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.xxxxxx (please note: confirm exact DOI in publisher site; study summarized from ScienceDirect listing).

Yu Yan, Mofreh S A M, Salem S. (2024). *Speak Beyond Borders: A Systematic Review of Task-Based Language Teaching for EFL Speaking Proficiency*. doi: 10.5539/elt.v17n7p15. [https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v17n7p15]